

DECCAN COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY

(A Unit of Deccan Group of Institutions)

Darussalam, Aghapura, Hyderabad – 500 001 Telangana

www.dgi.org.in www.deccancollege.ac.in

STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

(For AICTE Approved Institutions – As per NAAC & NBA OBE Guidelines)

Section A: Student Details

- Name (Optional): _____
- Roll No (Optional): _____
- Programme: _____
- Department: _____
- Year / Semester: _____
- Academic Year: _____

Section B: Course & Teaching-Learning Process

Instructions:

Rate the following on a scale of:

1 – Poor | 2 – Fair | 3 – Good | 4 – Very Good | 5 – Excellent

S.No	Parameter	1	2	3	4	5
1	Course objectives are clearly defined	<input type="checkbox"/>				
2	Course content relevance to industry & Program Outcomes	<input type="checkbox"/>				
3	Faculty preparedness for the class	<input type="checkbox"/>				
4	Clarity of explanation	<input type="checkbox"/>				
5	Use of ICT / Teaching aids	<input type="checkbox"/>				
6	Encouragement for student interaction	<input type="checkbox"/>				
7	Coverage of syllabus as per academic plan	<input type="checkbox"/>				
8	Transparency in internal assessment	<input type="checkbox"/>				
9	Practical/Lab sessions effectiveness	<input type="checkbox"/>				
10	Overall teaching effectiveness	<input type="checkbox"/>				

Section C: Infrastructure & Institutional Support

S.No	Parameter	1	2	3	4	5
1	Classroom infrastructure	<input type="checkbox"/>				
2	Laboratory facilities	<input type="checkbox"/>				
3	Library resources	<input type="checkbox"/>				
4	Internet / Wi-Fi facility	<input type="checkbox"/>				
5	Mentoring system	<input type="checkbox"/>				
6	Placement & career guidance support	<input type="checkbox"/>				
7	Grievance redressal mechanism	<input type="checkbox"/>				

Section D: Outcome-Based Education (NBA/NAAC Oriented)

Rate on Scale:

1 – Strongly Disagree | 5 – Strongly Agree

S.No	Statement	1	2	3	4	5
1	The course helped in achieving Course Outcomes (COs)	<input type="checkbox"/>				
2	The course contributed to Program Outcomes (POs)	<input type="checkbox"/>				
3	The course enhanced employability skills	<input type="checkbox"/>				
4	Ethical & professional values were emphasized	<input type="checkbox"/>				

Section E: Suggestions for Improvement

FACULTY FEEDBACK FORM

(AICTE / NAAC Compliant – For Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC))

Section A: Faculty Details

- Name (Optional): _____
- Department: _____
- Designation: _____
- Academic Year: _____

Section B: Academic Environment

Rate on:

1 – Poor | 2 – Fair | 3 – Good | 4 – Very Good | 5 – Excellent

S.No	Parameter	1	2	3	4	5
1	Academic freedom in curriculum delivery	<input type="checkbox"/>				
2	Support from administration	<input type="checkbox"/>				
3	Availability of teaching-learning resources	<input type="checkbox"/>				
4	Adequacy of infrastructure	<input type="checkbox"/>				
5	Research & consultancy support	<input type="checkbox"/>				
6	Professional development (FDPs, Workshops)	<input type="checkbox"/>				
7	Work environment & teamwork	<input type="checkbox"/>				

Section C: Governance & Institutional Policies

S.No	Parameter	1	2	3	4	5
1	Transparency in appraisal system	<input type="checkbox"/>				
2	Effectiveness of communication	<input type="checkbox"/>				
3	Grievance redressal system	<input type="checkbox"/>				
4	Support for innovation & incubation	<input type="checkbox"/>				
5	Implementation of OBE practices	<input type="checkbox"/>				

Section D: Institutional Values & Best Practices

S.No	Parameter	1	2	3	4	5
1	Gender sensitization initiatives	<input type="checkbox"/>				
2	Environmental sustainability practices	<input type="checkbox"/>				
3	Community engagement activities	<input type="checkbox"/>				

Section E: Suggestions / Recommendations
